## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EDWARD GEE	: CIVIL ACTION
Petitioner, v.  JOHN KERESTES, et al.,  Defendants.	: NO. 09-825
	ORDER
AND NOW, this16th day o	of June, 2010, upon consideration of Chief Magistrate
Judge Thomas J. Rueter's Report and Record	mmendation (R&R) (Doc. #9), Petitioner Edward
Gee's Objections to the R&R (Doc. #10), as	s well as Defendants' Response (Doc. #12), it is
ORDERED that:	
• The R&R is <b>APPROVED</b> and <b>ADC</b>	<b>OPTED</b> , with the exception that Petitioner's
prosecutorial misconduct claim is no	ot procedurally defaulted, but rather denied on the
merits.	
Petitioner's petition for a writ of hab	peas corpus is <b>DENIED</b> ; and
• There is no probable cause to issue a	a certificate of appealability.
	s/Anita B. Brody
	ANITA B. BRODY, J.
Copies VIA ECF on to:	Copies <b>MAILED</b> on to: